

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/827,076	04/19/2004	Timothy Darren Brown	2003-0737.01	1887		
21972 LEXMARK IN	21972 7590 02/21/2007 LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.			EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			UNELUS, ERNEST			
740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD BLDG. 082-1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999			2181			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,076	BROWN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ernest Unelus	2181	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1.

The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)

a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a)

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. [The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date	of:
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin	ıce
	a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
	I FAIR A FAIR O	

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

Application No. 10/827,076

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the cited Bolt reference does not teaches of querying a target device for setting information before generating an invalid setting indication.

Looking at the Bolt reference, step 58 of fig. 8, as also describe in col. 8, lines 43-49, discloses writing the setting from a source device setting to the target devices. Col. 8, lines 60-65 discloses "to determine whether the target printer 8a, b, c, d supports both the selected feature and the source value for the selected feature". The determination is the generation of the invalid setting. From this determination, which is consist of the initial setting, would contain an invalid setting, such as 'console lock' as shown in fig. 7; after that, the computer will query the printer is to see what kind of setting it can support, if it doesn't support something such as 'console lock'. Also, the claim doesn't specify what and how the invalid setting is being generated. After the query, the computer will transmit the best setting for the target printer. The applicant uses phras such as "That is, the invalid setting indication is generated after writing each setting, i.e., after the setting was not accepted by the target device during the writing of the setting to the target device", which is more specifics compare to the claim language. In regards to claim 3, the step of retrieving said plurality of source device settings from a location is selecting a set a values, as discloses in col. 9, lines 1-9. In regards to claim 4, "wherein said optimized list of source device settings is based on a dependency of one of said each setting upon another of each setting" is selecting the values of a particular seting from a printer, as col. 9, lines 1-9 discloses. in regards to all other dependent claims, see rejection below.

DONALD SPARKS

SUPERVISORY FATERY EVAMINER